

APPENDIX N

MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETINGS – REVIEW OF DRAFT EIA REPORT

	DRAFT MINUTES Public Meeting to Present the Draft EIA Reports	Queries: ☎ 011 781 1730 ☎ 011 781 1731 ✉ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client Details:	 water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Project Name: uMkhomazi Water Project Phase 1
Date:	14 July 2016	Time: 14h00 - 17h00
Chairperson:	D. Henning	Venue: Vans Hotel, Lot 40 Umlaas Road

Note: These minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather as a summary of the salient discussions which took place.

Attendance
Refer to the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.
<u>Apologies:</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None noted.

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
1.	Welcome & Introduction		
1.1	The meeting commenced at approximately 14H00. D Henning facilitated the meeting and welcomed everyone present. He also introduced the members of the project team.	-	-
2.	Purpose of the Meeting		
2.1	D Henning explained that the aims of the meeting were as follows: 1. To provide an overview of the proposed uMkhomazi Water Project Phase 1 (uMWP-1) – Raw Water and Potable Water Components; 2. To present the status of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA); 3. To provide an overview of the EIA Reports (uMWP-1 Raw Water and Potable Water); and 4. To provide a platform for project-related discussions.	-	-
3.	Presentations		
3.1	Project Background & Motivation		
3.1.1	K Bester from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) presented the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need for additional augmentation; Water balance and supply area of the Mgeni Water Supply System; and Water availability in the uMkhomazi River catchment. 	-	-
3.2	uMWP-1 - Raw Water Component		
3.2.1	K Bester from DWS presented the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheme layout; uMWP-1 Raw Water components; Project summary - programme and cost; and 	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institutional and financial arrangements. 		
3.3	uMWP-1 - Potable Water Component		
3.3.1	<p>G Subramanian from Umgeni Water presented the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> uMWP-1 Potable Water conveyance infrastructure; Water Treatment Works (WTW); and Characteristics of uMWP-1 Potable Water Infrastructure. 	-	-
3.4	Overview of Draft EIA Reports		
3.4.1	<p>D Henning from Nema Consulting presented the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status of the EIA process; Overview of the Scoping phase; Content of the draft EIA Reports – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legal framework; Profile of the receiving environment; Specialist studies; Impact assessment; Analysis of alternatives; Public participation; and Conclusions and key recommendations. 	-	-
4.	Discussion		
4.1	<p>F Peters asked how the meeting went at Baynesfield that was held earlier in the day.</p> <p>D Henning provided feedback on the meeting's proceedings and the type of questions that were raised.</p>	-	-
4.2	<p>F Peters enquired about the width of the servitude for the potable water pipeline.</p> <p>G Subramanian indicated that the approximate width of the servitude will be as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent servitude - 15 to 20m; and Construction servitude - 50m. 	-	-
4.3	<p>K Naidoo indicated that her presentation was on how land is acquired by TCTA, which is through expropriation and based on the Expropriation Act. As this related to the potable water pipeline, she indicated that TCTA will not be responsible and therefore requested that Umgeni Water present their process. G Subramanian indicated that he was not certain of how this will occur. D Henning suggested that that Umgeni Water's land acquisition process could be appended to the minutes of the meeting (see Appendix C).</p> <p>F Peters noted that the following information is required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to farms; Servitude details; Maintenance requirements; and Land acquisition process. 	D Henning	<i>To be confirmed</i>
4.4	<p>F Peters asked how Mapstone Dam will be crossed.</p> <p>G Subramanian indicated that the preferred method entails burying the</p>	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	pipeline in the dam basin.		
4.5	K Naidoo indicated that the area within the construction servitude will be rehabilitated for subsequent use by the landowner, in accordance with the servitude agreement.	-	-
4.6	G Subramanian noted that compensation will include the loss of crops. F Peters emphasised that compensation needed to consider rootstock and planting practices.	-	-
4.7	R Cassimjee requested clarity with regards to the preferred pipeline route in the Umlaas Road area. He asked whether there is a single pipeline with various alternative alignments or multiple pipelines. D Henning showed the preferred route on a map that was included in the presentation. He indicated that the other routes on the map were alternative alignments.	-	-
4.8	F Peters asked whether there were two WTWs. D Henning clarified that only 1 WTW was required and that the preferred site was located on Baynesfield Estate in a timber plantation.	-	-
4.9	H Mbatha noted that sensitive bird species occur on his property, such as Blue Swallows and cranes. D Henning explained that information pertaining to Blue Swallows, which is a Critically Endangered species, had been sourced from Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife and a dedicated Avifauna Study had been undertaken as part of the EIA. He further noted that the mitigation strategy proposed as part of the EIA included creating a working group with key parties such as Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife, Endangered Wildlife Trust and BirdLife South Africa, as well as implementing a monitoring programme that considers avifauna, vibration and noise. He indicated that other mitigation measures in terms of Blue Swallows are also included in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water), such as controlled blasting and avoiding sensitive areas during the breeding season. H Mbatha requested to be contacted by the Avifauna Specialist.	D Henning	<i>To be confirmed</i>
4.10	F Peters asked whether there was any flexibility in terms of the pipeline corridor. He noted that the route is approximately 200m away from K Chamblers farm house. D Henning noted that the EIA considered a 100m corridor (i.e. 50m on either side of the centreline). K Naidoo referred to the management of construction activities related to a bulk water pipeline that were undertaken in close proximity to residences as part of Phase 2 of the Mooi Mgeni Transfer Scheme. She further noted that one on one negotiations were conducted with the affected landowners. D Henning indicated that land acquisition is a separate legal process to the EIA, which would be undertaken by Umgeni Water on the potable water pipeline that included the property in question.	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	<p>F Peters emphasised that Umgeni Water and landowners need to negotiate in good faith. He noted that expropriation has negative connotations.</p> <p>G Subramanian stated that Umgeni Water will be reasonable and that the organisation has a history of good practice.</p> <p>K Naidoo explained that although the expropriation process is used, in accordance with the associated legislation, it is a fair process and considers current market value.</p>		
4.11	B Crookes noted that his property value has decreased due to industrial development and other linear infrastructure that already traverses his property.	-	-
4.12	<p>E Donaldson made reference to the municipal Spatial Development Framework and indicated that the urban edge currently ends at Afroprop but will be extended in 5 years' time.</p> <p>D Henning mentioned that Umgeni Water were aware that they needed to commence with negotiations to secure the servitude due to development pressures in the Umlaas Road area.</p>	-	-
4.13	<p>K Chamblor asked how often the proposed pipeline would need to be monitored along the servitude. He noted that other custodians of existing infrastructure on this land often access his property without permission.</p> <p>G Subramanian noted that monitoring along the pipeline servitude will not take place regularly.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Potable Water) includes an Operational Environmental Management Programme which makes provision for the notification of landowners prior to servitude maintenance inspections (amongst others).</p>	-	-
4.14	<p>R Cassimjee requested information with regards to servitude restrictions,</p> <p>D Henning suggested that Umgeni Water's land acquisition process could be appended to the minutes of the meeting (see Appendix C).</p>	D Henning	<i>To be confirmed</i>
4.15	B Crookes requested further clarification with regards to compensation in terms of crops. He explained the process associated with planting and harvesting sugarcane. He also emphasised that the age of the sugarcane needed to be taken into consideration.	-	-
4.16	<p>H Mbatha stated that no construction work will be undertaken on this land without proper compensation.</p> <p>G Subramanian noted that Umgeni Water prefers to pay compensation after construction; however, an agreement will be in place with the landowner beforehand.</p> <p>H Mbatha stated that Eskom had reneged on agreements in the past and that this approach would thus not be acceptable.</p>	-	-
4.17	D Bishop requested a detailed map of the pipeline route options in the Umlaas Road area.	-	-



Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	D Henning indicated that he could take the large map that was displayed on the wall. He also noted that maps had been included in Appendix D of the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Potable Water), which zoomed in on the various sections of the project footprint.		
4.18	J van der Merwe noted that RCL experiences problems with water supply in the area. G Subramanian indicated that Umgeni Water is not able to supply water directly to the users.	-	-
5.	Way Forward & Close		
5.1	D Henning thanked all parties present for their attendance and participation. The meeting was concluded at approximately 15H45.	-	-








APPENDIX A





ATTENDANCE REGISTER



PUBLIC MEETING TO PRESENT THE DRAFT EIA REPORTS		Querles:	Donavan Henning (M): 082 891 0604 (T): 011 781 1730 (E): donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client Name:	Department of Water and Sanitation & Umgeni Water	Date:	14 July 2016
Project Number:	10492 & 10515	Time:	14h00 - 17h00
Project Name:	uMKHOMAZI WATER PROJECT PHASE 1	Venue:	Vans Hotel, Lot 40 Umlaas Road

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

Name	Affiliation	Contact Details	Signature
Kobus Bester	DWA	T 012 336 8071 C 084 517 5560 E besterk@dwa.gov.za	
Gavin Subramanian	Umgeni Water	T 033 341 1271 C 071 671 7764 E gavin.subramanian@umgeni.co.za	
Ntokozo Sosibo	Umgeni Water	T 033 341 1131 C E ntokoza.sosibo@umgeni.co.za	
Kogi Naidoo	TCTA	T 012 683 1328 C 083 564 9285 E Knaidoo@tcta.co.za	
James Nyakale	TCTA	T 012 683 1200 C E jnyakale@tcta.co.za	
Bongi Shinga	Wakhiwe Group	T C 079 953 8371 E bongi.shinga@wakhiwe.co.za	
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	T 011 781 1730 C 082 891 0604 E donavanh@nemai.co.za	

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
J. TUDWALUWE	RCL FOODS	UMLAAS ROAD	POX 26	T C 073-229-6201 E hannes.tudwaluwe@rclfoods	
P. MILLARD	INTERESTED PARTY	65 CUFTON RD MARITZBURG	65 CUFTON ROAD ATHLOWE MARITZBURG	T 033-3472041 C E BRIANMILLARD@MWEB.CO.ZA	
B. MILLARD	"	"	"	T C E "	
FRED PETERS	BEFA	OUTLOOK FARM UMLAAS ROAD 3730	PO BOX 145 UMLAAS ROAD 3730	T 083632 0476 C E FRED@KFKPS.CO.ZA	
ANPRE	BEFA	CLEVELAND FARM, ESTON	✓	T 0828073750 C E befa@tech4u.co.za	
K. Chamber		The Silos	P.O. Box 64 Turnville 3160	T 082 9028679 C E timshell@wandata.com	
D. Bishop S. Bishop	Wubovale Umlaas Road	Sub 250 of the farm fronting + Davel farm 2085	P.O. 79 Umlaas Road 3730	T C 084 555 8297 E steph@saabishop.co.za	
				T C E	
				T C E	
				T C E	

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
B CROOKER	J.L-CROOKER	ROBERTS FARM	P.O. Box 135 Umlas Road	T 082 569 3978 C E	
E Davaedse	Mthambathu Municipality	P/hag 02 Capestad		T 031-7859341 C E	
H. Mbatsha	Mbatsha Family Trust	Green Pasture Part 3.	Box 1061 Westville 3630	T 082 3321600 C E	
JUSTIN SMITH	SUPER DIGGER PLANT HIRE	BULWER FARM UMLASI ROAD CLIFFDALE	Po Box 213 HAMMARSDALE 3700	T 083 2263650 C E Justin@superdigger.co.za	

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
RISHAAD CASSIMTEE ABDUL KADER CASSIMTEE	ECONO TRUCK SPARES	LOT 35 UMLAAS ROAD.	SUITE 122, PRIVATE BAG X6, CASCADES, 3202	T 031 785 1646 C 082 481 6073 E	
TEREN ARUMUGAM	D.W.D	185 FRANKS BRAND PTA	-	T 012 336 7027 C 060 550 3998 E ARUMUGAMS@DWS.GOV.ZA	

APPENDIX B

COPIES OF PRESENTATIONS

	DRAFT MINUTES Public Meeting to Present the Draft EIA Reports	Queries: ☎ 011 781 1730 ☎ 011 781 1731 ✉ donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client Details:	 water & sanitation Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	Project Name: uMkhomazi Water Project Phase 1
Date:	14 July 2016	Time: 09h00 - 12h00
Chairperson:	D. Henning	Venue: Baynesfield Club

Note: These minutes are not intended as a verbatim transcript of the meeting, but rather as a summary of the salient discussions which took place.

Attendance
Refer to the completed Attendance Register contained in Appendix A.
<u>Apologies:</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None noted.

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
1.	Welcome & Introduction		
1.1	The meeting commenced at approximately 09H00. D Henning facilitated the meeting and welcomed everyone present. He also introduced the members of the project team.	-	-
2.	Purpose of the Meeting		
2.1	D Henning explained that the aims of the meeting were as follows: 1. To provide an overview of the proposed uMkhomazi Water Project Phase 1 (uMWP-1) – Raw Water and Potable Water Components; 2. To present the status of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA); 3. To provide an overview of the EIA Reports (uMWP-1 Raw Water and Potable Water); and 4. To provide a platform for project-related discussions.	-	-
3.	Presentations		
3.1	Project Background & Motivation		
3.1.1	K Bester from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) presented the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need for additional augmentation; Water balance and supply area of the Mgeni Water Supply System; and Water availability in the uMkhomazi River catchment. 	-	-
3.2	uMWP-1 - Raw Water Component		
3.2.1	K Bester from DWS presented the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheme layout; uMWP-1 Raw Water components; Project summary - programme and cost; and 	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institutional and financial arrangements. 		
3.3	uMWP-1 - Potable Water Component		
3.3.1	<p>G Subramanian from Umgeni Water presented the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> uMWP-1 Potable Water conveyance infrastructure; Water Treatment Works (WTW); and Characteristics of uMWP-1 Potable Water Infrastructure. 	-	-
3.4	Overview of Draft EIA Reports		
3.4.1	<p>D Henning from Nemaï Consulting presented the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status of the EIA process; Overview of the Scoping phase; Content of the draft EIA Reports – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legal framework; Profile of the receiving environment; Specialist studies; Impact assessment; Analysis of alternatives; Public participation; and Conclusions and key recommendations. 	-	-
3.4.2	K Naidoo from TCTA presented the approach to the land acquisition process.	-	-
3.5	Overview of Specialist Reports		
3.5.1	<p>D Henning from Nemaï Consulting presented an overview of the following specialist studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aquatic Impact Assessment; Heritage Impact Assessment; Avifauna Study; Visual Impact Assessment; and Relocation Framework Plan. 	-	-
3.5.2	R Phamphe from Nemaï Consulting presented an overview of the Terrestrial Ecological Impact Assessment.	-	-
3.5.3	A Gouws from Index presented an overview of the Agricultural Impact Assessment.	-	-
3.5.4	N Bews from Dr Neville Bews & Associates presented an overview of the Social Impact Assessment.	-	-
3.5.5	C Chidley from Nemaï Consulting presented an overview of the Socio-Economic Impact Assessment.	-	-
4.	Discussion		
4.1	<p>B Seele asked if the tunnelling exercise will affect groundwater.</p> <p>K Bester explained that the tunnel will be very deep and will be concrete lined, based on detailed geotechnical investigations, to manage impacts to groundwater. He also indicated that the tunnel will operate under pressure.</p> <p>F Stevens noted that the Durban tunnel was built below the seabed and was very well sealed, which is a practical example of how water ingress into a tunnel can be avoided.</p>	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
4.2	<p>Unknown attendee asked whether the project includes hydropower.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that two potential hydropower sites were identified and included in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water), namely at the proposed WTW as part of the conveyance structure from Smithfield Dam to the plant, and the second just below Smithfield Dam on the outlet works.</p>	-	-
4.3	<p>M van Deventer enquired whether Umgeni Water could provide water from this scheme to the Baynesfield area. He noted that Baynesfield Estate is currently treating water and supplying it to the community.</p> <p>G Subramanian indicated that Umgeni Water cannot sell water directly to the customer and that the Local Municipality would need to be involved.</p>	-	-
4.4	<p>M van Deventer asked about the status of the process and the timeframes involved.</p> <p>D Henning noted that the Scoping phase had been completed. He indicated that the specialist studies were subsequently undertaken and the draft EIA Reports had been compiled, which are currently out for public review until 15 August 2016. He explained the EIA timeframes by referring to a slide in the presentation, which showed key dates for the remainder of the process.</p>	-	-
4.5	<p>M van Deventer asked what could Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) do if they were not satisfied with the decision by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA).</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the EIA Regulations make provision for an appeal process after the decision has been made by DEA.</p>	-	-
4.6	<p>M van Deventer asked about the tunnel boring machine (TBM) works.</p> <p>D Henning explained by way of a slide showing the long section of the proposed tunnel. He indicated that two TBMs will be utilised, with the first advancing from the outlet portal to a central access adit and the second from the central access adit towards the inlet portal. He noted that both drives will be undertaken up-grade to ensure drainage of the tunnels.</p>	-	-
4.7	<p>M van Deventer enquired about the management of spoil material that will be generated as part of tunnelling.</p> <p>D Henning explained that the spoil material will be hauled and dumped at new disposal sites that will be created at the inlet and central portals of the tunnel, as well as in the construction of the dam wall of the balancing dam. He indicated that the spoil sites will be rehabilitated after construction.</p>	-	-
4.8	<p>M van Deventer noted the impacts to the Baynesfield Lodge as a result of the construction activities.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water) states that in order to mitigate impacts to the Baynesfield Estate Lodge during the construction phase it is recommended that this facility be recreated at Baynesfield Dam. He further noted that the existing Baynesfield Lodge could be leased out to the construction team and then reinstated (as</p>	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	necessary) after the construction period for continued future use by the estate.		
4.9	<p>M van Deventer noted problems with the use of the existing access road, which included the condition of the road, difficult sections, and tight turning circles. He also indicated that this road is used by vehicles as part of current farming and forestry operations.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the tarring of the access road was suggested in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water), as well as the selective upgrading of the access road as part of the project. He stated that this would receive additional attention during the design phase.</p>	-	-
4.10	<p>P Odell asked how timber trucks would be accommodated during the construction phase, and he noted that the bridge over the dam wall (Mbangweni Dam) provides access to the NCT timber plantation.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the Environmental Management Programme provides mitigation measures in this regard.</p> <p>M van Deventer emphasised the need to consider the safety of the dam wall if the bridge is to be used.</p>	-	-
4.11	<p>B Seele asked where the central spoil site would be located and expressed concern that it may be near his property.</p> <p>D Henning showed the location of the central adit and spoil site on a drawing.</p>	-	-
4.12	<p>M van Deventer enquired about the visual impacts associated with the proposed WTW.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that one of the positive factors associated with WTW Option 1 is that there is an opportunity for the works to be screened by the existing timber plantation. He noted that the suggestion in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Potable Water) is for Umgeni Water to acquire additional timber land around WTW Option 1 to utilise the screening offered by existing pine trees. He further stated that the visual impact might only be associated with the administrative building as it will be the highest structure associated with the WTW.</p>	-	-
4.13	<p>P Odell enquired why the WTW is not located closer to industrial areas where it will not be noticed.</p> <p>G Subramanian explained that it will be a gravity fed system, which dictates the location of the WTW. He indicated that other sites for the proposed WTW had been considered but the topography was not favourable. He stated that the Mkhambathini Local Municipality had indicated that the WTW must not be located near the Umlaas Road Light Industrial Development Node as it may influence future development in this area. He also indicated that the design of the WTW could consider cladding to minimise the visual impact.</p>	-	-
4.14	<p>P Odell indicated that it is not possible to obtain additional land for forestry within the catchment.</p> <p>D Henning stated that the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Potable Water)</p>	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	recommends that concession is sought through DWS, as the proponent for uMWP-1 Raw Water, to increase permissible timber production in the uMlaza River catchment.		
4.15	<p>Unknown attendee asked about the long-term compensation for a permanent loss of income due to the project.</p> <p>K Naidoo explained the land acquisition process.</p>	-	-
4.16	<p>D Henning enquired about the acquisition of land at Baynesfield Estate.</p> <p>M van Deventer indicated that the Trust includes a condition that the land cannot be sold.</p> <p>K Naidoo noted that this is a legal matter that will be adequately attended to as part of the land acquisition process, which will take into consideration individual circumstances.</p>	-	-
4.17	<p>Unknown attendee asked about impacts to farm occupants and their livelihoods.</p> <p>K Naidoo explained that all legal requirements in terms of the land acquisition process need to be satisfied. She further explained how this matter was attended to in the case of Spring Grove Dam.</p>	-	-
4.18	P Odell noted that with reference to the presentation on the Agricultural Impact Assessment, the timber at WTW Option 1 is not pine but wattle which is a higher value crop.	-	-
4.19	<p>M van Deventer enquired about compensation.</p> <p>K Naidoo indicated that the findings from the EIA are only the first phase of understanding compensation. She explained that determining compensation requires more detailed assessments, which would include engagement with the affected landowners.</p>	-	-
4.20	<p>P Odell asked about the impact of the balancing dam on river flow.</p> <p>J Nyakale indicated that the required flow in the river will need to be determined taking into consideration factors such as downstream water users and the Ecological Water Requirements. He indicated that releases from the balancing dam would need to make provision for these water requirements.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the entitlements of existing lawful water users are protected.</p> <p>M van Deventer stated that Mbangweni Dam, which is downstream of the proposed balancing dam, consistently overflows and thus there is always water available downstream.</p> <p>K Bester indicated that the hydrological analysis of AECOM, who undertook the feasibility study for uMWP-1 Raw Water, found that water releases from the balancing dam will maintain the current situation. He also noted that the dam is small in comparison with the capacity of the raw water pipeline.</p>	-	-

Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
	<p>G Subramanian noted that the balancing dam will primarily be filled from the transfer scheme and not from incremental flow within its catchment, which provides a higher assurance of water supply.</p> <p>J Nyakale noted that downstream water users are catered for through water releases from the dam rather than overtopping.</p>		
4.21	<p>S McKean enquired whether the loss of ecosystem goods and services had been taken into consideration.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the relevant specialist studies quantified the loss of ecosystems and determined the status of these systems.</p>	-	-
4.22	<p>S McKean asked if attention will be given to catchment management in terms of the rehabilitation of eroded areas.</p> <p>D Henning noted that the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water) recommends the promotion of ecological infrastructure be taken forward as part of biodiversity offset for uMWP-1, which may entail the rehabilitation of eroded areas and reinstatement of suitable ground cover in the uMkhomazi catchment. He further indicated that the future Resource Management Plan for Smithfield Dam could include a dedicated Business Plan for rehabilitation of eroded areas within the catchment.</p>	-	-
4.23	<p>M van Deventer asked which WTW site was identified as the preferred option in the Social Impact Assessment.</p> <p>N Bews noted that WTW Option 2 had been identified as the preferred option in the Social Impact Assessment due to economic factors.</p>	-	-
4.24	<p>M van Deventer asked where the visual impacts of the proposed WTW had been assessed.</p> <p>D Henning noted that this had been covered under the EIA Report for uMWP-1 Potable Water. Copies of both the EIA Reports for uMWP-1 Raw Water and Potable Water had been given to M van Deventer.</p>	-	-
4.25	<p>M van Deventer queried the selection of WTW Option 1 as the preferred option, as the specialists were divided in their findings.</p> <p>D Henning indicated that the preferred option for the WTW was identified in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Potable Water) through a balanced appraisal of the recommendations of the specialists, technical considerations and the comparison of the impacts.</p>	-	-
4.26	<p>M van Deventer asked how recommendations could be changed.</p> <p>D Henning noted that a change to a recommendation made in the EIA Reports could be proposed in writing to Nema Consulting, which would then be considered by the respective project teams (uMWP-1 Raw Water and Potable Water). He also noted that any requirements of the I&APs that may need to be included as a possible condition to authorisation should be indicated in the comments.</p> <p>D Henning and K Bester to meet with M van Deventer to discuss his comments further.</p>	D Henning & K Bester	<i>To be confirmed</i>



Item.	Description	Action	Target Date
4.27	<p>S McKean indicated that he would like to see recommendations with regards to catchment management and biodiversity offsets.</p> <p>D Henning noted that biodiversity offsets suggested in the EIA Report (uMWP-1 Raw Water) included amongst others the possible extension of the Impendle Nature Reserve, based on discussions held with Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife. He also indicated that suggestions related to catchment management were included in terms of support to ecological infrastructure. He noted that catchment management extends beyond the EIA and it includes other mandated parties.</p>	-	-
4.28	<p>S McKean enquired about the lifespan of Smithfield Dam.</p> <p>K Bester indicated that the lifespan would be very long taking into consideration the intended management of siltation.</p>	-	-
5.	Way Forward & Close		
5.1	<p>D Henning thanked all parties present for their attendance and participation.</p> <p>The meeting was concluded at approximately 12H00.</p>	-	-

APPENDIX A

ATTENDANCE REGISTER





PUBLIC MEETING TO PRESENT THE DRAFT EIA REPORTS		Queries:	Donavan Henning (M): 082 891 0604 (T): 011 781 1730 (E): donavanh@nemai.co.za
Client Name:	Department of Water and Sanitation & Umgeni Water	Date:	14 July 2016
Project Number:	10492 & 10515	Time:	09h00 - 12h00
Project Name:	uMKHOMAZI WATER PROJECT PHASE 1	Venue:	Baynesfield Club

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

Name	Affiliation	Contact Details	Signature
Kobus Bester	DWA	T 012 336 8071 C 084 517 5560 E besterk@dwa.gov.za	
Gavin Subramanian	Umgeni Water	T 033 341 1271 C 071 671 7764 E gavin.subramanian@umgeni.co.za	
Ntokozo Sosibo	Umgeni Water	T 033 341 1131 C E ntokoza.sosibo@umgeni.co.za	
Kogi Naidoo	TCTA	T 012 683 1328 C 083 564 9285 E Knaidoo@tcta.co.za	
James Nyakale	TCTA	T 012 683 1200 C E jnyakale@tcta.co.za	
Bongi Shinga	Wakhiwe Group	T C 079 953 8371 E bongi.shinga@wakhiwe.co.za	
Donavan Henning	Nemai Consulting	T 011 781 1730 C 082 891 0604 E donavanh@nemai.co.za	








PUBLIC MEETING TO PRESENT THE DRAFT EIA REPORTS		Queries:	Donavan Henning (M): 082 891 0604 (T): 011 781 1730 (E): donavanh@nema.co.za
Client Name:	Department of Water and Sanitation & Umgeni Water	Date:	14 July 2016
Project Number:	10492 & 10515	Time:	09h00 - 12h00
Project Name:	uMKHOMAZI WATER PROJECT PHASE 1	Venue:	Baynesfield Club



ATTENDANCE REGISTER

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
T.W. LEWIS	PRIVATE FARMER	KYALAMI RICHMOND	Box 141 BAYNESFIELD	T C E 0824240163	
M. Seele	Land owner	Trenwidge Baynesfield	Box 6 Baynesfield	T C E 0720840973 emariaseele@gmail.com	
A.R. HAMPHRE	NEMAI	KANDRULA	KANDRULA	T C E 011 781 1730 082 783 6724 ronaldp@nema.co.za	
S. MUKEN	EKZEW	Midner Regional Office, Midner Dam	P.O. Box 13053, Cascades, 3202	T C E 033 2311512 ste.muken@kzawildlife.com	
				T C E	
				T C E	
				T C E	

PUBLIC MEETING TO PRESENT THE DRAFT EIA REPORTS		Queries:	Donavan Henning (M): 082 891 0604 (T): 011 781 1730 (E): donavanh@nema.co.za
Client Name:	Department of Water and Sanitation & Umgeni Water	Date:	14 July 2016
Project Number:	10492 & 10515	Time:	09h00 - 12h00
Project Name:	uMKHOMAZI WATER PROJECT PHASE 1	Venue:	Baynesfield Club

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
van Deventer	Baynesfield Estate	Baynesfield Estate	PO Box 53 Baynesfield 3770	T C E 0828491568	
K. STEAD	Upper Whulagoy Water Users	Preston Park D360		T C E 0823754400	
P. Lotter	D 360 1000 Farm 14 Baynesfield	Farm 14 D 360 Baynesfield	P.O. Box 3 Baynesfield 3770	T C E 0765111348	
P. Odell	NCT Baynesfield	NCT Baynesfield base.	P.O. Box 1445 Pictorialburg 3201	T C E 082 8749209	
D. Mulstead	Baynesfield Estate	Baynesfield Estate	PO Box 53 Baynesfield 3770	T C E 0826945263	
K. Coulthard	Cottonwood farm	Baynesfield	BOX 112 Baynesfield 3770	T C E 071 172 8965	
M. Sosibo	Umgeni Water			T C E 083 2471563	

Name	Affiliation	Physical Address	Postal Address	Contact Details	Signature
BEN SEELE	Land owner	Trewirgje Farm BAYNESFIELD 3770	P.O. Box 6 Baynesfield 3770	T 033-2510311 C 082 352 4394 E seeleben@telkomsa.net	
FRANK STEVEIDS	HEZIKENI DECHIT E UT TUNGA ETHEKWINI WATER E SAN-	HILICREET, LINC.		T 083 4586811 C E	

APPENDIX B

COPIES OF PRESENTATIONS